



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/622,987	07/18/2003	Shanna Marie Cronan		3059	
75	590 08/19/2004		EXAMINER		
Shanna Marie Cronan 50 Via Belardo #13			COURSON, TANIA C		
Greenbrae, CA			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			2859		
			DATE MAILED: 08/19/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			11.8
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/622,987	CRONAN, SHANNA	MARIE
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Tania C. Courson	2859	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	ation appears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence addre	:ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commun. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or lif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statut. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will Any reply received by the Office later than three months afte earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rejication. days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty tory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT II, by statute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this comm	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .		
)⊠ This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition fo closed in accordance with the practice	•	•	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the approximation 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction	withdrawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>18 July 2004</u> is	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection	on to the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the same same same same same same same sam	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	•	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
application from the International	ocuments have been received. Ocuments have been received in Ap the priority documents have been re al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Sta	age
* See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certified copies not r	eceived.	
	·		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Su	ummary (PTO-413)	
2) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTC)-948) Paper No(s)	/Mail Date	·o.
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	FO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Inf	formal Patent Application (PTO-15)Z)

Application/Control Number: 10/622,987 Page 2

Art Unit: 2859

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The use of the trademark "Velcro" has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology (i.e. VELCRO, hook and loop fastener).

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claims 6 and 13 are objected to because of the following informalities:
 - a) claim 6, in line 2, "Velcro" should read "VELCRO", and;
 - b) claim 13, in line 2, "Velcro" should read "VELCRO".

Appropriate correction is required.

3. Claims 15-16 are objected to because of the following informalities: it has no proper dependency since each claim states in line 1, respectively, that it is dependent on "The method of claim 1" and independent claim 1 refers to a device claim. For examination purposes, the examiner has assumed that claim 15 and claim 16, respectively, is dependent on claim 14, the appropriate independent method claim. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/622,987 Page 3

Art Unit: 2859

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1-3, 5, 8-10, 12 and 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sexton, I (US 4,934,024).

Sexton, I discloses in Figure 5, an indicator and associated method comprising:

With respect to claims 1-3 and 5:

- a) an indicator (Fig. 5, grip member 12) for indicating the optimal position and orientation for holding a device (Fig. 5, handle 18), a means for affixing the indicator to the device (Fig. 5);
- b) wherein the indicator is shaped as one or more human hands (Fig. 5 and column 5, line 62 through column 6, line 2);
- c) wherein the human hands are a right human hand and a left human hand (Fig. 5 and column 5, line 62 through column 6, line 2);
- d) wherein the means for affixing the indicator to the device is an adhesive on the indicator (column 5, lines 48-50).

Application/Control Number: 10/622,987

Art Unit: 2859

With respect to claims 8-10, 12 and 15-17:

a) determining an optimal position and orientation for holding a device (Fig. 5, handle 18) and affixing an indicator to the device to indicate the optimal position and orientation (Fig. 5, grip member 12);

Page 4

- b) wherein the indicator is shaped as one or more human hands (Fig. 5 and column 5, line 62 through column 6, line 2);
- c) wherein the human hands are a right human hand and a left human hand (Fig. 5 and column 5, line 62 through column 6, line 2);
- d) wherein the means for affixing the indicator to the device is an adhesive on the indicator (column 5, lines 48-50).
- e) wherein the optimal position and orientation for holding the device is determined by a manufacturer of the device (column 3, line 66 through column 4, line 3);
- f) wherein the optimal position and orientation for holding the device is determined for a specific user of the device (column 3, line 66 through column 4, line 3);
- g) wherein the optimal. position and orientation for holding the device is determined by a medical professional (column 3, line 66 through column 4, line 3).
- 6. Claims 1, 6, 8 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by McGowan (US 4,027,687).

McGowan discloses in Figure 5, an indicator and associated method comprising:

Application/Control Number: 10/622,987

Art Unit: 2859

With respect to claims 1, 6, 8 and 13:

a) an indicator (Fig. 16, tube 72) for indicating the optimal position and orientation for holding a device (Fig. 16, walker rail 62), a means for affixing the indicator to the device (Fig. 16);

Page 5

b) wherein the means for affixing the indicator to the device comprises Velcro on the indicator and corresponding Velcro on the device (Fig. 16, VELCRO, C2);

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 4, 7, 11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sexton, I in view of Tassey et al. (US 6,237,266 B1) and Sisko et al. (US 5,657,783).

Sexton, I discloses an indicator and associated method, as stated above in paragraph 5.

Sexton, I does not disclose wherein the indicator is made of a material that is visible in the absence of an external light source and wherein the device is a medical walker.

Tassey et al. teach a visual indicator and associated method that consists of wherein the indicator is made of a material that is visible in the absence of an external light source (column 4, lines 45-52). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary

Application/Control Number: 10/622,987

Art Unit: 2859

skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the indicator and associated

Page 6

method of Sexton, I, so as to include the indicator made of a material that is visible in the

absence of light, as taught by Tassey et al., so as to provide a greater enhancement in visibility

during use of the indicator.

Sisko et al. teach a device having an indicator and associated method that consists of

wherein the device is a medical walker. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having

ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify the indicator and

associated method of Sexton, I, so as to include wherein the device is a medical walker, as taught

by Sisko et al., so as to provide an alternate device for utilizing the indicator.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's

disclosure.

The prior art cited on PTO-892 and not mentioned above disclose an indicator:

Severson (US D486,686 S)

Claunch (US 6,746,249 B1)

Bresnan (US 6,025,773)

Cota (US 6,024,723)

Lai (US 4,836,544)

Foley et al. (US 3,484,106)

McCullough (US 1,664,257)

Application/Control Number: 10/622,987 Page 7

Art Unit: 2859

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tania C. Courson whose telephone number is (571) 272-2239. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00AM to 4:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Diego Gutierrez, can be reached on (571) 272-2245.

The fax number for this Organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

DIEGO F.F. GUTIERREZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER GROUP ART UNIT 2859

TCC August 17, 2004

CHRISTOPHER W. FULTON PRIMARY EXAMINER